

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARIO WOOTEN,

Plaintiff,

No. CIV S-04-0816 DFL JFM P

vs.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT
OF CORRECTIONS,

Defendant.

ORDER

On April 11, 2005, defendant filed a motion to [motion to/for what] pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). Plaintiff has not opposed the motion.

Local Rule 78-230(m) provides in part: "Failure of the responding party to file written opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion" On July 26, 2004, plaintiff was advised of the requirements for filing an opposition to the motion and that failure to oppose such a motion may be deemed a waiver of opposition to the motion.

Local Rule 11-110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the

1 inherent power of the Court.” In the order filed July 26, 2004, plaintiff was advised that failure
2 to comply with the Local Rules may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed.

3 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within thirty days of the
4 date of this order, plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any, to the motion to dismiss. Failure to file
5 an opposition will be deemed as a statement of non-opposition and shall result in a
6 recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

7 DATED: May 16, 2005.

8
9 
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11
12 001/woot0816.osc
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26